

most nagging question of concern

	Response Count
	67
answered question	67
skipped question	10

Page 2, Q1. most nagging question of concern

1	Problems with privacy are scary. Also, the growth of the internet raises questions about the motivations commonly cited for having the current regime of intellectual property.	Sep 7, 2011 8:54 PM
2	Is there a connection between law and morality, and if so, how can we use the underlying argumentation to address some of the iLaw "open questions"?	Sep 7, 2011 5:20 PM
3	The values that we hold (regarding privacy in this case) seem to be intimately connected to national history. European history offers a warning against centralized surveillance and data aggregation. On this side of the Atlantic the conversation centers around the technological possibilities of big data and the public good that could come from aggregation. It would be great to bring some history into here and to connect the implicit values to related political theories (central vs. distributed computing as related to central vs. local governance, for example).	Sep 7, 2011 4:01 PM
4	+ Methods session, way too basic and based on stereotyping discussion. + Too much discussion between Berkmaners and presenters, in contrast to the overall people in the room. + Low gender balance.	Sep 7, 2011 3:59 PM
5	How do we know, or rather how do we decide - and to that end, how do we measure - whether more widely diffused power is good? Or if "good" carries unpleasant moral connotations, we could say "desirable," though that would be just as universalist a concept in this context.	Sep 7, 2011 3:59 PM
6	When Fisher wrote on the blackboard with a chalk I felt as if sometimes 'traditional' teaching methods might be more effective than new ones... I am puzzled by the effectiveness of the interactive method. Does it actually increase the audience's focus or does it make one loose concentration? I find it simultaneously stimulating and confusing.	Sep 7, 2011 3:58 PM
7	I respectfully disagree with what I perceived to be Professor Nesson's comments at the end of the day Tuesday regarding the need for the US to take a more active role in the international march for freedom (for lack of a better description)- it more than anything has nagged at me that it wasn't addressed.	Sep 7, 2011 3:58 PM

Page 2, Q1. most nagging question of concern

	Democracy and freedom must be defined by and for the region seeking it. It will not look the same always, and to force the US' structure onto others by bumbling to the head of someone else's fight is a mistake. Innovation comes in different forms and from different circumstances-- look no further than Weibo --and to arrogantly and paternalistically force ourselves to the fore of others fight is a mistake. The US is an amazing society but is not a perfected one, and not the only one. Perhaps an equally noble fight to take up is that for diversity from which innovation can bloom. [nagging concern]	
8	Has the US replaced contract with ritual in the privacy context? Do courts really penalize companies for breaching their privacy policies, or do they simply refuse to interpret sweeping policies that were never meant to be read to include conduct that is perceived as outrageous?	Sep 7, 2011 3:56 PM
9	Politicians, businessmen, lawyers, academics, computer scientists, etc. all effect these issues on different levels from their own viewpoints, but how can everyone be included together to maximize change. Berkman center seems to do a good job of bridging these gaps from an academic sense, but how can it push into these other areas?	Sep 7, 2011 3:56 PM
10	The course seems very practical--interested in identifying problems and solutions rather than just . But what's the actual next step? Does academic research on these problems produce results from elsewhere? Or do academics have to launch projects themselves to deal with it? Should Berkman refocus its efforts on that? Is that i4bi?	Sep 7, 2011 3:55 PM
11	Drawing out what deregulation would look like now and in the future - does it change over time, how much is sufficient, does it require international participation...	Sep 7, 2011 3:55 PM
12	The distinctions between what is possible and what is realistic wrt cyber security; and what is real and what is perceived wrt production and creativity in the absence of copyright laws.	Sep 7, 2011 3:54 PM
13	Tying together the "Open" theme - libraries, Wikipedia, teaching, to addressing the growing disparity of access to higher education which is a key to addressing income inequality	Sep 7, 2011 3:54 PM
14	Need consistency in sessions between obeying real clock and room clock.	Sep 7, 2011 3:54 PM
15	To what extent are we divorcing discussion from larger political economic questions? When Yochai and Lessig express concern over closing of digital commons or dispossession by appropriation of rights to objects of cultural production, and discuss the merits of various legal and policy regimes, we must recognize these processes in terms of their wealth effects, and the role that wealth and its self-protection, rather than innovation, dynamism, or the freedom to produce, plays in the formulation of the policies under which we live and work.	Sep 7, 2011 3:54 PM
16	I disagreed with Zittrain's position in the methodology session on Tuesday, until his final remark (paraphrased: "Akamai is a failure of academia.") This is a troubling and plausible position that is not often articulated in academic CS, where successful startup ventures are largely viewed as the proof of success. [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM
17	None. This has been a very informative two days, and I hope the next two are just as educational.	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM

Page 2, Q1. most nagging question of concern

18	What is the best way - in terms of development of new law and policy - we can deal with the threat to privacy (from government, from corporations, from other individuals) that is posed by the evolution of the Internet?	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM
19	Are there any specific solutions proposed to stop cybersecurity problems without limiting in excess the open design of the web?	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM
20	I think we are mostly describing the problems and asking questions, but where are the solutions or at least ideas for solutions?	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM
21	Well, I don't know if this fits as a nagging issue, but I think this kind of topics could be way more developed than has been. I know there's a time concern, but either way I think a little more focused discussions on key topics it would be perfect. Copyright for instance. [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:53 PM
22	How can we, as students, get involved in addressing these questions? It is so wonderful to hear conversations among Yochai, JZ, Lessig, Nesson, and Fisher, but are there opportunities for us to participate? Maybe we could have lunches or coffee chats over the semester with smaller groups? Also, how can we get involved substantively? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
23	How to come up with a secure password I will remember. After that, how to develop clearer lines between legitimate appropriation of copyright material and infringement or, failing that, how to better navigate the grey area.	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
24	the students have been very quiet (but perhaps not prodded enough to participate in the real world.)	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
25	What's the goal of the seminar? Or the goals? To elaborate a little bit - there are experts here in many related fields, along with a slew of law students who are far from experts. What is it that you would like us all to leave with? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
26	Is there a consensus, whether regionally or continent wise, as to the extent of: (a) freedom of expression and (b) privacy on the net?	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
27	What role ought participation tools like Live Question Tool or Twitter play in higher education? Are they the modern version of individual numerical key pads used to take the temperature of audiences / classrooms with an added bonus of allowing written content from the user, or are they more an opportunity for adding humor and/or noting appreciated (or unappreciated) comments? How does it feel to be a lecturer in front of an audience utilizing these tools?	Sep 7, 2011 3:52 PM
28	lack of global approach on some issues. Most of the discussions were related to US issues. [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
29	Concentration of information due to (probable) impending shift toward cloud computing.	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
30	Is there any possible way for the "muggles" to cover all their bases in regards to their privacy and cybersecurity? Zittrain's "Princess & the Pea" analogy struck home to me. Because even as someone who considers himself computer literate, I know that there are several fronts on which I am not fully protected from my government, corporations, or other users. And then there's probably a host of threats I don't even know about. It simply seems that there's no way for an individual to adequately protect themselves. [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM

Page 2, Q1. most nagging question of concern

31	Is the current legal framework irreconcilable with the digital age? Instead of incentivizing the arts, has it become merely a tool to be used by moneyed interests against small artists and innovators?	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
32	Is there really such a thing as cyber "security"?	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
33	The web has matured, perhaps with my own maturity. I no longer think of the web as a source of perpetual mirth and kitten memes. It is a tool, a resource, a human right, a place of great potential for good as well as harm, and a place on which all of our systems depend and which would be devastating if ever removed. I wonder if that changes my love for the whimsical space I first came to visit.	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
34	The Easterbrook question mentioned by Prof. Zittrain--the question of the horse.	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
35	what are better UX designs to get users to be more conscious & in control of their internet usage	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
36	Will we lose (and, if so, will we miss) professional creators of intellectual goods in the absence of copyright protection?	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
37	We really did not resolve (i.e provide any solutions to) the privacy vs. freedom of information and the crime vs. freedom issues.	Sep 7, 2011 3:51 PM
38	Future of the net. Balance of optimism over possibilities with ever-present ability of governments and corporations to restrict, constrain, control.	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
39	Is JZ's contingent generativity here to stay?	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
40	The relationship between the legal issues that we are discussing here, and the law that is practiced (and legal perspectives) of the larger legal community (with special emphasis on big law).	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
41	To what degree the law is shaping what we're learning. Not that it matters so much to me, but it seems like we're talking so much about the market and the architecture and the culture, that we stand, at times, to lose sight of where the law plays in.	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
42	What is the right way to approach the "arms race" (for lack of a better term) between different countries in terms of protection for IP/personal data/etc.? Is there a way to escape having to conform to the highest common denominator in the interest of innovation/experimentation?	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
43	what is the proper blend of methodologies to preserve the internet's generative power and regulate online behavior in a way that simultaneously respects important rights (especially freedom of expression), takes privacy into account, and adequately protects national security at a time when technologies are increasingly erasing physical boundaries and rendering the world ever more interconnected?	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
44	I would like to hear more about cybersecurity in the national security context.	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
45	How much has the information I've put on the Internet already been compromised? The cybersecurity session really scared me.	Sep 7, 2011 3:50 PM
46	why there is such little data from other continents on internet law	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM

Page 2, Q1. most nagging question of concern

47	It would be great to get a clearer picture/summary of how iLaw plays out in courtrooms and Congress, and to hear more about legal battles related to it.	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
48	Digital Privacy: Protection of Personal Data. It's scary to know that the mere involvement of digital technology in personal data is prima facie infringement of privacy.	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
49	Under what circumstances would it be possible for USG to bring down something like Wikileaks?	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
50	Are we headed in a direction such that all of what makes the Internet so special and so beneficial as an open and free network is going to disappear?	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
51	although many proposals for copyright reform and alternative compensation schemes have been discussed, what practical steps is our society and our world going to take to end the copyright wars?	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
52	n/a	Sep 7, 2011 3:49 PM
53	would love to understand better (perhaps conceptually) if there are divides between the different communities here present (ex. hls vs. mit, etc.) [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:48 PM
54	Why is there not more student participation? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:48 PM
55	How to decide which issues fall under the cyberlaw field of study?	Sep 7, 2011 3:48 PM
56	how can we better amplify a great diversity of voices?	Sep 7, 2011 3:48 PM
57	How do we reconcile our desire--need--to study online behaviors with concerns about privacy and online safety?	Sep 7, 2011 3:48 PM
58	how to manage that relationship and maintain some semblance of fairness and order	Sep 7, 2011 3:46 PM
59	it feels like we're doing a lot of descriptive work (here's what's going on, these are some examples of cyber threats, the present architecture is insufficient) but less normative. what do we do with all of this? what of this is actionable? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:46 PM
60	What is the most promising point of entry for reforming the legal systems that govern technology and intellectual property law? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:45 PM
61	JZ's role or the university [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:45 PM
62	question of privacy brought up earlier about the different spheres of privacy.	Sep 7, 2011 3:44 PM
63	Substantive intellectual property concerns	Sep 7, 2011 3:44 PM
64	Can we create and disseminate new knowledge with enough time to help drive policy and innovation?	Sep 7, 2011 3:44 PM
65	How is this graded? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:44 PM
66	What does this all mean to future lawyers? What can we do, especially if we plan on moving on to big law? [nagging concern]	Sep 7, 2011 3:44 PM

67 how we can get more iLaw

Sep 7, 2011 3:43 PM