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The following images appear in the background of eighth lecture in the CopyrightX lecture series. A recording of the lecture itself is available at http://copyx.org/lectures/. Removed from their original context, the images will not make much sense. The function of this collection of images is to enable persons who have already watched the lecture to review the material it contains.

The terms on which these materials may be used or modified are available at http://copyx.org/permission/.
Interests of Copyright Owners

1) Leverage over Intermediaries
2) Maximize demand for copies
3) Restrict Arbitrage and thus facilitate differential pricing

Attitudes resistant to restrictions on distribution

1) Resentment of greed
2) Skepticism concerning continuing control over objects
3) Hostility to differential pricing
Market Windows for Major Films from Release Date, circa 1990.
Vernon v Autodesk: Vernon’s narrative
Vernon v Autodesk: Autodesk’s narrative

- **Copyright Autodesk**
  - Copy
  - Lease
  - Authorized Copy Autodesk

- Authorized Copy Autodesk
  - Authorized Copy CTA
  - Purported resale 109(a) n.a.
  - Authorized Copy Vernon
    - Ebay
      - Resale 109(a) n.a.
      - Authorized Copy Buyer
We hold today that a software user is a licensee rather than an owner of a copy where the copyright owner
(1) specifies that the user is granted a license;
(2) significantly restricts the user's ability to transfer the software; and
(3) imposes notable use restrictions
(1) Unauthorized reproduction?

(2) Unauthorized reproduction?

(3) Public performance?
Aereo (2014)

“[I]n light of the purpose and text of the [Transmit] Clause, we conclude that when an entity communicates the same contemporaneously perceptible images and sounds to multiple people, it transmits a performance to them regardless of the number of discrete communications it makes.”
“[A]n entity that transmits a performance to individuals in their capacities as owners or possessors does not perform to ‘the public,’ whereas an entity like Aereo that transmits to large numbers of paying subscribers who lack any prior relationship to the works does so perform.”
Aereo (2014)

“[T]he doctrine of ‘fair use’ can help to prevent inappropriate or inequitable applications of the [Transmit] Clause.”